arXiv:math/0406562v3 [math.DG] 8 Dec 2004

A Lower Bound of the First Eigenvalue of a Closed Manifold with Negative Lower Bound of the Ricci Curvature *

Jun LING

Abstract

Along the line of the Yang Conjecture, we give a new estimate on the lower bound of the first non-zero eigenvalue of a closed Riemannian manifold with negative lower bound of Ricci curvature in terms of the in-diameter and the lower bound of Ricci curvature.

1 Introduction

It has been proved by Li and Yau [7] that if M is an n-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature $\mathrm{Ric}(M)$ bounded below by $(n-1)\kappa$, with constant $\kappa < 0$, then the first non-zero eigenvalue λ of the Laplacian of M has the lower bound

$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)d^2}\exp\{-1-\sqrt{1+4(n-1)^2d^2|\kappa|}\},$$

where d is the diameter of M.

H. C. Yang [11] obtained later the following estimate

$$\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^2}{d^2}\exp\{-C_n\sqrt{(n-1)|\kappa|d^2}\},$$

where $C_n = \max\{\sqrt{n-1}, \sqrt{2}\}$. Yang further conjectured that

$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-1)\kappa + \frac{\pi^2}{d^2},$$

Along the line of the Yang Conjecture, we give a new estimate on the lower bound of the first non-zero eigenvalue of a closed Riemannian manifold with negative lower bound of Ricci curvature in terms of the in-diameter and the lower bound of Ricci curvature. Instead of using the Zhong-Yang's canonical function or the "midrange" of the normalized eigenfunction of the first eigenvalue in the proof, we use a function ξ that the author constructed in [8] for the construction of the suitable test function and use the structure of the nodal domains of the eigenfunction. That provides a new way to sharpen the bound. We have the following result.

---

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 58J50, 35P15; Secondary 53C21

Theorem 1. *If M is an n-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold and if the Ricci curvature of M has a lower bound*

$$\mathrm{Ric}(M) \geq (n-1)\kappa \tag{1}$$

for some constant $\kappa < 0$, then the first non-zero eigenvalue λ of the Laplacian Δ of M satisfies the inequality

$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{1-(n-1)\kappa/(2\lambda)} \frac{\pi^2}{\tilde{d}^2} > 0$$

and λ has the following lower bound,

$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-1)\kappa + \frac{\pi^2}{\tilde{d}^2}, \tag{2}$$

where $\tilde{d}$ is the diameter of the largest interior ball in the nodal domains of the first eigenfunction.

Note that from the proof of the Theorem 1, the in-diameter $\tilde{d}$ can be replaced by the larger of the diameters of the two nodal domains of an eigenfunction of the first non-zero eigenvalue.

If $\mathrm{Ric}(M) \geq (n-1)\kappa$ with constant $\kappa > 0$, it is known that the first non-zero eigenvalue λ has a lower bound as the above. Therefore the lower bound in (2) is universal for all three cases, no matter constant $\kappa > 0, = 0$ or < 0.

We derive some preliminary estimates and conditions for test functions in the next section and construct the needed test function and prove the main result in the last section.

2 Preliminary Estimates

Let v be a normalized eigenfunction of the first non-zero eigenvalue λ of the Laplacian Δ such that

$$\sup_M v = 1, \quad \inf_M v = -k \tag{3}$$

with $0 < k \leq 1$. The function v satisfies the following equation

$$\Delta v = -\lambda v \quad \text{in } M, \tag{4}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian of M.

We first use gradient estimate in [4]-[7] and [10] to derive following estimate.

Lemma 1. *The function v satisfies the following*

$$\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b^2 - v^2} \leq \lambda(1 + \beta), \tag{5}$$

where $\beta = -(n-1)\kappa/\lambda > 0$ and $b > 1$ is an arbitrary constant.

Proof. Consider the function

$$P(x) = |\nabla v|^2 + Av^2, \tag{6}$$

where $A = \lambda - (n-1)\kappa + \epsilon$ for small $\epsilon > 0$. Function P must achieve its maximum at some point $x_0 \in M$. We claim that $\nabla v(x_0) = 0$.

If on the contrary, $\nabla v(x_0) \neq 0$, then we can rotate the local orthonormal frame about x_0 such that

$$|v_1(x_0)| = |\nabla v(x_0)| \neq 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad v_i(x_0) = 0, \quad i \geq 2.$$

Since P achieves its maximum at x_0, we have

$$\nabla P(x_0) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta P(x_0) \leq 0.$$

That is, at x_0

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_i P = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_j v_{ji} + Avv_i,$$

$$v_{11} = -Av \qquad \text{and} \qquad v_{1i} = 0 \quad i \geq 2, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \quad &\geq \Delta P(x_0) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (v_{ji}v_{ji} + v_j v_{jii} + Av_i v_i + Avv_{ii}) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left(v_{ji}^2 + v_j(v_{ii})_j + \mathrm{R}_{ji}v_j v_i + Av_{ii}^2 + Avv_{ii}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} v_{ji}^2 + \nabla v \nabla(\Delta v) + \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla v, \nabla v) + A|\nabla v|^2 + Av\Delta v \\
&\geq v_{11}^2 + \nabla v \nabla(\Delta v) + (n-1)\kappa|\nabla v|^2 + A|\nabla v|^2 + Av\Delta v \\
&= (-Av)^2 - \lambda|\nabla v|^2 + (n-1)\kappa|\nabla v|^2 + A|\nabla v|^2 - \lambda A v^2 \\
&= [A - \lambda + (n-1)\kappa]|\nabla v|^2 + A(A-\lambda)v^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (7) and (1). Therefore at x_0,

$$0 \geq [A - \lambda + (n-1)\kappa]|\nabla v|^2 + A(A-\lambda)v^2. \tag{8}$$

That is,

$$\epsilon|\nabla v(x_0)|^2 + [-(n-1)\kappa + \epsilon][\lambda - (n-1)\kappa + \epsilon]v(x_0)^2 \leq 0.$$

Thus $\nabla v(x_0) = 0$. This contradicts the assumption $\nabla v(x_0) \neq 0$. So the above claim is right.

Therefore we have $\nabla v(x_0) = 0$, and

$$P(x_0) = |\nabla v(x_0)|^2 + Av(x_0)^2 = Av(x_0)^2 \leq A.$$

Now for all $x \in M$ we have

$$|\nabla v(x)|^2 + Av(x)^2 = P(x) \leq P(x_0) \leq A$$

and

$$|\nabla v(x)|^2 \leq A(1 - v(x)^2).$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ in the above inequality, the estimate (5) follows. □

We want to improve the above upper bound in (5) further and proceed in the following way.

Define a function Z on $[-\sin^{-1}(k/b), \sin^{-1}(1/b)]$ by

$$Z(t) = \max_{x \in M, t = \sin^{-1}(v(x)/b)} \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b^2 - v^2}/\lambda.$$

The estimate in (5) becomes

$$Z(t) \leq 1+\beta \qquad \text{on } [-\sin^{-1}(k/b), \sin^{-1}(1/b)]. \tag{9}$$

Throughout this paper let

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)\kappa < 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \delta = \alpha/\lambda < 0. \tag{10}$$

We have the following theorem on conditions for the test function.

Theorem 2. *If the function* $z : \ [-\sin^{-1}(k/b), \sin^{-1}(1/b)] \mapsto \mathbf{R}^1$ *satisfies the following*

1. $z(t) \geq Z(t) \qquad t \in [-\sin^{-1}(k/b), \sin^{-1}(1/b)]$,
2. *there exists some* $x_0 \in M$ *such that at point* $t_0 = \sin^{-1}(v(x_0)/b)$ $z(t_0) = Z(t_0)$,
3. $z(t_0) \geq 1$,
4. $z'(t_0)\sin t_0 \leq 0$,

then we have the following

$$0 \geq -\frac{1}{2}z''(t_0)\cos^2 t_0 + z'(t_0)\cos t_0 \sin t_0 + z(t_0) - 1 + 2\delta\cos^2 t_0. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Define

$$J(x) = \left\{ \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b^2 - v^2} - \lambda z \right\} \cos^2 t,$$

where $t = \sin^{-1}(v(x)/b)$. Then

$$J(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in M \qquad \text{and} \qquad J(x_0) = 0.$$

If $\nabla v(x_0) = 0$, then

$$0 = J(x_0) = -\lambda z \cos^2 t.$$

This contradicts Condition 3 in the theorem. Therefore

$$\nabla v(x_0) \neq 0.$$

The Maximum Principle implies that

$$\nabla J(x_0) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta J(x_0) \leq 0. \tag{12}$$

$J(x)$ can be rewritten as

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{b^2}|\nabla v|^2 - \lambda z \cos^2 t.$$

Take normal coordinates about x_0. (12) is equivalent to

$$\frac{2}{b^2}\sum_i v_i v_{ij}\Big|_{x_0} = \lambda \cos t[z' \cos t - 2z \sin t]t_j\Big|_{x_0} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad \geq \quad & \frac{2}{b^2}\sum_{i,j} v_{ij}^2 + \frac{2}{b^2}\sum_{i,j} v_i v_{ijj} - \lambda(z''|\nabla t|^2 + z'\Delta t)\cos^2 t \\ & +4\lambda z' \cos t \sin t |\nabla t|^2 - \lambda z \Delta \cos^2 t\Big|_{x_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Rotate the frame so that $v_1(x_0) \neq 0$ and $v_i(x_0) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Then (13) implies

$$v_{11}\Big|_{x_0} = \frac{\lambda b}{2}(z' \cos t - 2z \sin t)\Big|_{x_0} \quad \text{and} \quad v_{1i}\Big|_{x_0} = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 2. \tag{15}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla v|^2\Big|_{x_0} &= \lambda b^2 z \cos^2 t\Big|_{x_0}, \\ |\nabla t|^2\Big|_{x_0} &= \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{b^2 - v^2} = \lambda z\Big|_{x_0}, \\ \frac{\Delta v}{b}\Big|_{x_0} &= \Delta \sin t = \cos t \Delta t - \sin t |\nabla t|^2\Big|_{x_0}, \\ \Delta t\Big|_{x_0} &= \frac{1}{\cos t}(\sin t |\nabla t|^2 + \frac{\Delta v}{b}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\cos t}[\lambda z \sin t - \frac{\lambda}{b} v]\Big|_{x_0}, \quad \text{and} \\ \Delta \cos^2 t\Big|_{x_0} &= \Delta\left(1 - \frac{v^2}{b^2}\right) = -\frac{2}{b^2}|\nabla v|^2 - \frac{2}{b^2} v \Delta v \\ &= -2\lambda z \cos^2 t + \frac{2}{b^2}\lambda v^2\Big|_{x_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{b^2}\sum_{i,j} v_{ij}^2\Big|_{x_0} \geq \frac{2}{b^2} v_{11}^2 \\ & = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(z')^2 \cos^2 t - 2\lambda^2 z z' \cos t \sin t + 2\lambda^2 z^2 \sin^2 t\Big|_{x_0}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2}{b^2}\sum_{i,j} v_i v_{ijj}\Big|_{x_0} &= \frac{2}{b^2}\left(\nabla v\,\nabla(\Delta v) + \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla v, \nabla v)\right)\\ &\geq \frac{2}{b^2}(\nabla v\,\nabla(\Delta v) + (n-1)\kappa|\nabla v|^2)\\ &= -2\lambda^2 z\cos^2 t + 4\alpha\lambda z\cos^2 t\Big|_{x_0},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&-\lambda(z''|\nabla t|^2 + z'\Delta t)\cos^2 t\Big|_{x_0}\\ &= -\lambda^2 zz''\cos^2 t - \lambda^2 zz'\cos t\sin t\\ &+ \frac{1}{b}\lambda^2 z' v\cos t\Big|_{x_0},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&4\lambda z'\cos t\sin t|\nabla t|^2 - \lambda z\Delta\cos^2 t\Big|_{x_0}\\ &= 4\lambda^2 zz'\cos t\sin t + 2\lambda^2 z^2\cos^2 t - \frac{2}{b}\lambda^2 zv\sin t\Big|_{x_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Putting these results into (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned}0 \geq\ & -\lambda^2 zz''\cos^2 t + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(z')^2\cos^2 t + \lambda^2 z'\cos t\,(z\sin t + \sin t)\\ & + 2\lambda^2 z^2 - 2\lambda^2 z + 4\alpha\lambda z\cos^2 t\Big|_{x_0},\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we used (15). Now

$$z(t_0) > 0, \tag{17}$$

by Condition 3 in the theorem. Dividing two sides of (16) by $2\lambda^2 z\Big|_{x_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 \geq\ & -\frac{1}{2}z''(t_0)\cos^2 t_0 + \frac{1}{2}z'(t_0)\cos t_0\left(\sin t_0 + \frac{\sin t_0}{z(t_0)}\right) + z(t_0)\\ & - 1 + 2\delta\cos^2 t_0 + \frac{1}{4z(t_0)}(z'(t_0))^2\cos^2 t_0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}0 \geq\ & -\frac{1}{2}z''(t_0)\cos^2 t_0 + z'(t_0)\cos t_0\sin t_0 + z(t_0) - 1 + 2\delta\cos^2 t_0\\ & + \frac{1}{2}z'(t_0)\sin t_0\cos t_0[\frac{1}{z(t_0)} - 1] + \frac{1}{4z(t_0)}(z'(t_0))^2\cos^2 t_0.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

By the conditions 3 and 4 in the theorem, the last two terms are nonnegative. Therefore (11) follows. □

3 Proof of the Main Result

We now prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$z(t) = 1 + \delta\xi(t), \tag{19}$$

where ξ is the functions defined by (27) in Lemma 2 below and δ is the negative constant in (10). We claim that

$$Z(t) \le z(t) \qquad \text{on } [-\sin^{-1}(k/b), \sin^{-1}(1/b)]. \tag{20}$$

Lemma 2 implies that for $t \in [-\sin^{-1}(k/b), \sin^{-1}(1/b)]$, we have the following

$$\frac{1}{2}z'' \cos^2 t - z' \cos t \sin t - z = -1 + 2\delta \cos^2 t, \tag{21}$$

$$z'(t) \sin t \le 0, \qquad \text{(since } \delta < 0\text{)} \quad \text{and} \tag{22}$$

$$z(t) \ge z(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1. \tag{23}$$

Let $P \in \mathbf{R}^1$ and $t_0 \in [-\sin^{-1}(k/b), \sin^{-1}(1/b)]$ such that

$$P = \max_{t\in[-\sin^{-1}(k/b),\sin^{-1}(1/b)]} (Z(t) - z(t)) = Z(t_0) - z(t_0).$$

Thus

$$Z(t) \le z(t) + P \quad \text{on } [-\sin^{-1}\frac{k}{b}, \sin^{-1}\frac{1}{b}] \quad \text{and} \quad Z(t_0) = z(t_0) + P. \tag{24}$$

Suppose that $P > 0$. Then $z + P$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2. (11) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&z(t_0) + P = Z(t_0) \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}(z + P)''(t_0) \cos^2 t_0 - (z + P)'(t_0) \cos t_0 \sin t_0 + 1 - 2\delta \cos^2 t_0 \\
&= \frac{1}{2}z''(t_0) \cos^2 t_0 - z'(t_0) \cos t_0 \sin t_0 + 1 - 2\delta \cos^2 t_0 \\
&= z(t_0).
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the assumption $P > 0$. Thus $P \leq 0$ and (20) must hold. That means

$$\sqrt{\lambda} \geq \frac{|\nabla t|}{\sqrt{z(t)}}. \tag{25}$$

Note that the eigenfunction v of the first nonzero eigenvalue has exactly two nodal domains $D^+ = \{x : v(x) > 0\}$ and $D^- = \{x : v(x) < 0\}$ and the nodal set $v^{-1}(0)$ is compact. Take q_1 on M such that $v(q_1) = 1 = \sup_M v$ and and $q_2 \in v^{-1}(0)$ such that distance $d(q_1, q_2) =$ distance $d(q_1, v^{-1}(0))$. Let L be the minimum geodesic segment between q_1 and q_2. We integrate both sides of (25) along L and change variable and let $b \to 1$. Let d_+, d_- be the diameter of the largest interior ball in D^+, D^- respectively, then

$$d_+ = 2r_+ \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_+ = \max_{x \in D_+} \operatorname{dist}(x, v^{-1}(0))$$

and

$$d_- = 2r_- \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_- = \max_{x \in D_-} \operatorname{dist}(x, v^{-1}(0)).$$

Then $\tilde{d} = \max\{d_+, d_-\}$
(26)

$$\sqrt{\lambda}\,\frac{d_+}{2} \geq \int_L \frac{|\nabla t|}{\sqrt{z(t)}}\,dl = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z(t)}}\,dt \geq \frac{\left(\int_0^{\pi/2}\,dt\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left(\int_0^{\pi/2}\,z(t)\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \left(\frac{(\frac{\pi}{2})^3}{\int_0^{\pi/2}\,z(t)\,dt}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Square the two sides, we get

$$\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^3}{2(d_+)^2 \int_0^{\pi/2}\,z(t)\,dt}.$$

Now

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} z(t)\,dt = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} [1 + \delta\xi(t)]\,dt = \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - \delta),$$

by (30) in Lemma 2. Therefore

$$\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^2}{(1 - \delta)(d_+)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-1)\kappa + \frac{\pi^2}{(d_+)^2}.$$

Since $\tilde{d} \geq d_+$ and $\tilde{d} \geq d_-$, we complete the proof. $\square$

We now state and prove Lemma 2 used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 2. *Let*

$$\xi(t)=\frac{\cos^2 t+2t\sin t\cos t+t^2-\frac{\pi^2}{4}}{\cos^2 t}\qquad on\quad [-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\,]. \tag{27}$$

Then the function ξ satisfies the following

$$\frac{1}{2}\xi''\cos^2 t-\xi'\cos t\sin t-\xi=2\cos^2 t\quad in\ (-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\,), \tag{28}$$

$$\xi'\cos t-2\xi\sin t=4t\cos t \tag{29}$$

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\xi(t)\,dt=-\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{30}$$

$$1-\frac{\pi^2}{4}=\xi(0)\le\xi(t)\le\xi(\pm\frac{\pi}{2})=0\quad on\ [-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\,],$$

$$\xi'\ is\ increasing\ on\ [-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\,]\ and\ \xi'(\pm\frac{\pi}{2})=\pm\frac{2\pi}{3},$$

$$\xi'(t)<0\ on\ (-\frac{\pi}{2},0)\ \ and\ \ \xi'(t)>0\ on\ (0,\frac{\pi}{2}\,),$$

$$\xi''(\pm\frac{\pi}{2})=2,\ \xi''(0)=2(3-\frac{\pi^2}{4})\ and\ \ \xi''(t)>0\ on\ [-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\,],$$

$$(\frac{\xi'(t)}{t})'>0\ on\ (0,\pi/2\,)\ and\ \ 2(3-\frac{\pi^2}{4})\le\frac{\xi'(t)}{t}\le\frac{4}{3}\ on\ [-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\,],$$

$$\xi'''(\frac{\pi}{2})=\frac{8\pi}{15},\xi'''(t)<0\ on\ (-\frac{\pi}{2},0)\ and\ \ \xi'''(t)>0\ on\ (0,\frac{\pi}{2}\,).$$

Proof of Lemma 2. For convenience, let $q(t)=\xi'(t)$, i.e.,

$$q(t)=\xi'(t)=\frac{2(2t\cos t+t^2\sin t+\cos^2 t\sin t-\frac{\pi^2}{4}\sin t)}{\cos^3 t}. \tag{31}$$

Equation (28) and the values $\xi(\pm\frac{\pi}{2})=0$, $\xi(0)=1-\frac{\pi^2}{4}$ and $\xi'(\pm\frac{\pi}{2})=\pm\frac{2\pi}{3}$ can be verified directly from (27) and (31) . The values of ξ'' at 0 and $\pm\frac{\pi}{2}$ can be computed via (28). By (29), $(\xi(t)\cos^2 t)'=4t\cos^2 t$. Therefore $\xi(t)\cos^2 t=\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^t\ 4s\cos^2 s\,ds$, and

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\ \xi(t)\,dt=2\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\ \xi(t)\,dt=-8\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\cos^2(t)}\int_t^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\ s\cos^2 s\,ds\right)\,dt$$

$$=-8\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\int_0^s\ \frac{1}{\cos^2(t)}\,dt\right)\ s\cos^2 s\,ds=-8\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\ s\cos s\sin s\,ds=-\pi.$$

It is easy to see that q and q' satisfy the following equations

$$\frac{1}{2}q''\cos t - 2q'\sin t - 2q\cos t = -4\sin t, \tag{32}$$

and

$$\frac{\cos^2 t}{2(1+\cos^2 t)}(q')'' - \frac{2\cos t\sin t}{1+\cos^2 t}(q')' - 2(q') = -\frac{4}{1+\cos^2 t}. \tag{33}$$

The last equation implies $q' = \xi''$ cannot achieve its non-positive local minimum at a point in $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$. On the other hand, $\xi''(\pm\frac{\pi}{2}) = 2$, by equation (28), $\xi(\pm\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$ and $\xi'(\pm\frac{\pi}{2}) = \pm\frac{2\pi}{3}$. Therefore $\xi''(t) > 0$ on $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and ξ' is increasing. Since $\xi'(t) = 0$, we have $\xi'(t) < 0$ on $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)$ and $\xi'(t) > 0$ on $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Similarly, from the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{\cos^2 t}{2(1+\cos^2 t)}(q'')'' - \tfrac{\cos t\sin t(3+2\cos^2 t)}{(1+\cos^2 t)^2}(q'')' - \tfrac{2(5\cos^2 t+\cos^4 t)}{(1+\cos^2 t)^2}(q'') \\ &\qquad = -\tfrac{8\cos t\sin t}{(1+\cos^2 t)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

we get the results in the last line of the lemma.

Set $h(t) = \xi''(t)t - \xi'(t)$. Then $h(0) = 0$ and $h'(t) = \xi'''(t)t > 0$ in $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Therefore $(\frac{\xi'(t)}{t})' = \frac{h(t)}{t^2} > 0$ in $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Note that $\frac{\xi'(-t)}{-t} = \frac{\xi'(t)}{t}$, $\frac{\xi'(t)}{t}|_{t=0} = \xi''(0) = 2(3 - \frac{\pi^2}{4})$ and $\frac{\xi'(t)}{t}|_{t=\pi/2} = \frac{4}{3}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

References

[1] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, Orlando,Fla, 1984.

[2] S. Y. Cheng, Eigenfunctions and nodal sets, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **51**(1976), 43–55.

[3] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, volume I. Interscience, New York, 1953.

[4] P. Li, *Lecture Notes on Geometric Analysis, Lecture Notes Series, #6*, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea.

[5] P. Li and A. Treibergs, Applications of Eigenvalue Techniques to Geometry, H. Wu, editor, *Contemporary Geometry: J. Q. Zhong Memorial Volume Plenum*, 21–52, 1991.

[6] P. Li and S. T. Yau, On the Schrödinger equation and the eigenvalue problem, *Comm. Math. Phys*, **88**(1983), 309–318.

[7] P. Li and S. T. Yau, Estimates of eigenvalues of a compact Riemannian manifold, *AMS Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **36**(1980), 205–239.

[8] J. Ling, *A bound for the first fundamental gap.* Ph.D. Dissertation, State University of New York at Buffalo.

[9] J. Ling, Estimates on the lower bound of the first gap, Preprint, 2004.

[10] R. Schoen, and S. T. Yau, *Lecture Notes on Differential Geometry*, Conference Procedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, Vol 1, International Press, 1994.

[11] H.C. Yang, Estimate for the first eigenvalue for a compact Riemannian manifold, *Science in China*, **Ser. A 33**,(1990), 39–51.

[12] S. T. Yau, editor, *Problem Section, Seminar on Diff. Geom.*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1982.

[13] J.-Q. Zhong and H.C. Yang, On the estimate of the first eigenvalue of a compact Riemannian manifod, *Sci. Sinica*, **Ser. A 27**, (1984), 1265–1273.

Department of mathematics, Utah Valley State College, Orem, Utah 84058

E-mail address: `lingju@uvsc.edu`